

OFFICIAL

Our Ref: IM-FOI-2021-2885
Date: 28th January 2021



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION (SCOTLAND) ACT 2002

I refer to your recent request for information which has been handled in accordance with the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002.

For ease of reference, your request is replicated below together with the response.

Please could I request the following information under the Freedom of Information Act?

The police reports from the below football matches:

Aberdeen vs Dundee, December 26 2021
Hibernian vs Aberdeen, December 22 2021
St Johnstone vs Aberdeen, December 11 2021
Aberdeen vs St Mirren, December 4 2021
Aberdeen vs Livingston, December 1 2021
Celtic vs Aberdeen, November 28 2021
Dundee Utd vs Aberdeen, November 20 2021
Aberdeen vs Motherwell, November 6 2021

I'm happy for the names of police officers to be redacted.

Firstly in regards to the Aberdeen vs Livingston match on December 1st 2021, the Aberdeen v St Mirren match on December 4th 2021 and the Aberdeen v Dundee fixture on 26 December 2021. Police Scotland did not have a police presence at these matches and so I must advise that in terms of Section 17 of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002, this represents a notice that the information requested is not held by Police Scotland.

In regards to the Celtic vs Aberdeen match on November 20th 2021, I can confirm that we do not hold a police report from this match as one was not compiled and advise that in terms of Section 17 of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002, this represents a notice that the information requested is not held by Police Scotland. In order to be of some assistance however I can provide the following information that is relevant:

Attendance: 58,469
Ejections: 8
Refusals - 4
Arrests - 1
Casualties - 8

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

In regards to the other matches, please find the requested reports attached.

I must advise that it has been necessary to withhold some of the information requested, therefore, in terms of Section 16 of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002, I am refusing to provide you with the information sought.

Section 16 requires Police Scotland when refusing to provide such information because it is exempt, to provide you with a notice which:

- (a) states that it holds the information,
- (b) states that it is claiming an exemption,
- (c) specifies the exemption in question and
- (d) states, if that would not be otherwise apparent, why the exemption applies.

I can confirm that Police Scotland holds the information you have requested and the exemption that I consider to be applicable is set out at:

Section 30(c) - Prejudice to the Effective Conduct of Public Affairs

Section 31(1) – National Security and Defence

Section 35 (1) (a) & (b) – Law Enforcement

Section 38(1)(b) – Personal Information

Section 30 (c) - Prejudice to the Effective Conduct of Public Affairs.

Where necessary some internal names / telephone numbers and email addresses have been redacted. To release these details publicly through FOI legislation could negatively impact on the operational effectiveness of various departments and external partner agencies. While it is acknowledged that the disclosure of this information would support transparency and better inform the public as to how the Service conducts its business, there are already established routes for the public to make contact with the police and the disclosure of these additional details would not support the effective conduct of public affairs. As a result, section 30(c) of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 is engaged.

Section 31(1) – National Security and Defence

If the information is disclosed it may assist terrorist organisations to identify, with some accuracy, tactics and information recorded by Police Scotland in regards to football matches and by association other similar events. This would provide them with a tactical advantage when planning or perpetrating their terrorist plans and activities allowing them to cause the maximum impact of destruction and disruption.

The security of the United Kingdom is of paramount importance and Police Scotland will not disclose further details of such information if it would impact on National Security.

This is a non-absolute exemption and requires the application of the public interest test.

Section 35 (1) (a) & (b) – Law Enforcement

The information requested is exempt, as its disclosure would or would be likely to prejudice substantially the prevention or detection of crime and apprehension or

OFFICIAL

prosecution of offenders. If the details of Police Scotland's resourcing and tactics used for football matches and by association other similar events were to be disclosed, experience has shown that it would allow those intent on committing crime or causing disorder to gauge the likelihood of detection or to take measures to negate the likelihood of detection. It would allow criminals to plan how best to engage or occupy existing police resources in an effort to maximise their chances of committing serious crime, therefore harming the efficient and effective conduct of the service.

Such information would prove extremely useful information for persons involved in criminality as they would be able to plan and conduct their activities to avoid detection. It would confirm the resources dedicated to events which would allow those intent on wrongdoing to judge the police response to a variety of incidents. In turn this would prejudice substantially the ability for our officers to prevent and detect crime and apprehend or prosecute offenders.

Disclosure would have an adverse impact on the ability of the Police to carry out its law enforcement role effectively, and thereby prejudice substantially the prevention and detection of crime.

This is a non-absolute exemption and requires the application of the public interest test.

Public Interest Test

As you will be aware, the exemptions listed above are non-absolute and require the application of the Public Interest Test. I would suggest that public accountability would favour disclosure, given that the information concerns the efficient and effective use of resources by the Service. Likewise, disclosure of the information would also inform the public debate on the issue of policing and contribute to the accuracy of that debate.

Furthermore, the applicability of the exemptions listed above, the need to ensure the effective conduct of the service in relation to prevention and detection of crime and, the public safety considerations involved in the delivery of operational policing clearly favour non-disclosure of the information requested.

It is important to note that the UK does face a serious and sustained threat from violent extremists and this threat is greater in scale and ambition than any terrorist threats in the past. The police service has a duty to promote the safety of all individuals, whether protected or not, and will not reveal any information that might jeopardise this goal. To provide details of resources allocated to protecting them is likely to place individuals at serious and increased risk.

On balance I would contend that the public interest in disclosing the information is outweighed by that in maintaining the exemptions listed, as, it is doubtful if it can ever be in the public interest to disclose information which would jeopardise the delivery of policing and the safety of individuals and prejudice the prevention or detection of crime.

Section 38(1)(b) – Personal Information

Any information that could lead to the identification of individuals has been redacted. This is in accordance with Section 38(1)(b) of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 – Personal Information.

OFFICIAL

This mainly relates to the name and email addresses of persons who are outside Police Scotland and any staff member within Police Scotland of a more junior role (below the rank of Superintendent or Head of Department). Those of a more senior rank have been included as it is deemed within the public interest to do so.

As such, the processing would be unfair and unlawful in respect of the individuals concerned and would therefore be in breach of the first principle of the Data Protection Act 2018. This is an absolute exemption, which does not require a public interest test to be conducted.

Should you require any further assistance please contact Information Management quoting the reference number given.

If you are dissatisfied with the way in which Police Scotland has dealt with your request, you are entitled, in the first instance, to request a review of our actions and decisions.

Your request must specify the matter which gives rise to your dissatisfaction and it must be submitted within 40 working days of receiving this response - either by email to foi@scotland.pnn.police.uk or by post to Information Management (Disclosure), Police Scotland, Clyde Gateway, 2 French Street, Dalmarnock, G40 4EH.

If you remain dissatisfied following the outcome of that review, you are thereafter entitled to apply to the Office of the Scottish Information Commissioner within six months for a decision. You can apply [online](#), by email to enquiries@itspublicknowledge.info or by post to Office of the Scottish Information Commissioner, Kinburn Castle, Doubledykes Road, St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9DS.

Should you wish to appeal against the Office of the Scottish Information Commissioner's decision, there is an appeal to the Court of Session on a point of law only.

As part of our commitment to demonstrate openness and transparency in respect of the information we hold, an anonymised version of this response will be posted to the Police Scotland Freedom of Information [Disclosure Log](#) in seven days' time.